

# Subleasing vs. Coworking: A Comprehensive Office Space Comparison

Published June 14, 2025 55 min read



# Subleasing vs. Coworking: A Comprehensive Office Space Comparison

### Introduction

Finding the right office solution has become a strategic decision in today's evolving workplace landscape. Companies are increasingly weighing **subleasing commercial office space versus using coworking spaces** as flexible alternatives to traditional long-term leases. This report provides an in-depth comparison of these two models – subleasing and coworking – in terms of cost efficiency, flexibility, lease obligations, amenities, location advantages, and long-term strategy. We incorporate recent market data and industry insights from leading real estate firms (CBRE, JLL, Cushman & Wakefield, etc.), and include financial scenario analyses (e.g. a 5-person NYC startup vs. a 10-person Austin hub) to illustrate cost



differences. We also address legal and operational risks and highlight real-world examples to guide professionals in choosing the most advantageous model for their business stage, team size, and market conditions.

## **Overview of Subleasing and Coworking Models**

**Subleasing** an office means a company (the subtenant) rents space from another tenant (the sublandlord) rather than directly from the building's owner. The subtenant assumes the use of the space for the remaining term (or a negotiated term) of the original tenant's lease. Subleases often come **fully built-out and even furnished ("plug-and-play")**, as the sublandlord may leave behind furniture, fixtures, and cabling (Source: <a href="reubenlaw.com">reubenlaw.com</a>). The subtenant typically pays rent to the sublandlord (often at a discount to market rates) and must abide by the original lease's terms in addition to the sublease agreement. This arrangement can benefit growing companies seeking **shorter commitments** than direct leases and potentially lower rent, while allowing original tenants to offload excess space.

Coworking spaces, by contrast, are <u>flexible, membership-based workspaces</u> operated by third-party providers (e.g. WeWork, Regus, Industrious, etc.). Companies or individuals pay for access to ready-to-use offices on terms ranging from daily passes to month-to-month memberships. Coworking offerings range from open hot-desking areas to dedicated desks and private office suites. Membership fees generally **include furniture, utilities, internet, cleaning, and shared amenities** like conference rooms, lounges, and coffee bars. Coworking is essentially a form of "space as a service," with **no long-term real estate lease** obligations for the occupier. This model rose to prominence for its **extreme flexibility and turnkey convenience**, attracting everyone from startups and <u>freelancers</u> to satellite teams of large enterprises.

Market Context: Both subleasing and coworking have expanded significantly in recent years, driven by the need for flexibility amid changing work patterns (especially post-2020). In the U.S., available office sublease space nearly doubled from 96 million sq. ft. in Q1 2020 to 189 million sq. ft. by Q1 2023, representing about 19% of all office availability (Source: <a href="mailto:cbre.com">cbre.com</a>). Major firms in tech, finance, and professional services put surplus space on the sublease market, creating opportunities for smaller occupiers to take advantage of high-quality offices at discounted rents(Source: <a href="mailto:reubenlaw.com">reubenlaw.com</a>). At the same time, the flexible office (coworking) sector has boomed, growing at an average annual rate of ~23% since 2010 (far outpacing the ~1% annual growth of traditional office occupancy) (Source: <a href="mailto:retaildive.com">retaildive.com</a>). By one JLL projection, flexible spaces could comprise 30% of all office stock by 2030(Source: <a href="mailto:retaildive.com">retaildive.com</a>). Even through pandemic disruptions, demand for flexibility has remained strong – as of 2022, 51% of corporate real estate executives planned for flex space to make up 10–50%



of their office footprint within a couple years (and 8% aimed for >50%) (Source: <u>coworkingcafe.com</u>). Clearly, subleasing and coworking are now mainstream strategies. The following sections will compare these models across key decision criteria.

# **Cost Efficiency and Financial Comparison**

Cost is often the deciding factor when choosing between a sublease and a coworking space. Both options can save money relative to a traditional direct lease, but their cost structures differ markedly. Below we examine cost components and present scenario-based comparisons.

Cost Structure – Coworking: Coworking typically charges per person per month. For example, in New York City the median monthly cost of a dedicated desk in a coworking space is about \$425 per person(Source: <a href="statista.com">statista.com</a>), while hot-desk (unassigned seat) memberships average around \$239 (Source: <a href="statista.com">statista.com</a>). These fees are <a href="all-inclusive">all-inclusive</a>, covering the workspace, utilities, normal office amenities, and often 24/7 access. There are generally no upfront build-out costs or security deposits beyond possibly a one-month membership deposit. Importantly, because coworking members share common areas and resources, small teams can occupy far less square footage than they would in a private office versus a private office in a coworking space. This means the effective cost per square foot for a coworking user might be higher, but the absolute cost for a small team can be lower since you're only paying for the seats you need and sharing everything else (Source: <a href="coworkingcafe.com">coworkingcafe.com</a>). Membership plans can often be scaled up or down on short notice, avoiding the expense of paying for underutilized space.

Cost Structure – Sublease: Sublease rents are usually quoted per square foot (per year), similar to direct leases. However, subleases are often deeply discounted relative to prevailing market rents: "Sublease space is typically marketed with a rental rate 20% to 40% below direct lease rates" (Source: cbre.com). In practice, subtenants might pay around 70–80% of what a comparable direct tenant would pay in the same building (Source: g2cre.com). For instance, in San Francisco's 2021 tenant-favorable market, sublease offerings undercut direct rents by offering rent abatements and lower rates such that overall effective rents were ~30% lower than pre-2020 norms (Source: reubenlaw.com) (Source: reubenlaw.com). Subleases also tend to be "plug-and-play," saving on upfront costs – a subtenant can often assume the space as-is with furniture and wiring at no extra charge (Source: reubenlaw.com). However, subtenants may still be responsible for expenses like their portion of utilities or operating costs (depending on the lease structure), and should factor in costs for any desired modifications (noting that sublandlords seldom provide improvement allowances (Source: reubenlaw.com)).

In summary, coworking costs are person-based and turnkey; sublease costs are area-based and potentially bargain-priced but come with some typical lease expenses. The **break-even point** between the two often depends on team size and usage horizon. We now compare specific scenarios:



#### Scenario 1: 5-Person Startup in Manhattan, NYC

Consider a small startup with 5 employees in Manhattan. They need a workspace for the team and are debating between coworking versus subleasing a small office. We compare annual costs:

- Coworking Option (NYC): The team could rent 5 dedicated desks in a Coworking spaces in Montreal center. Using median NYC rates, this costs roughly \$425 per person/month(Source: statista.com). For 5 people, that's about \$2,125 per month, which annualizes to ~\$25,500 per year. This fee includes fully furnished space, high-speed internet, cleaning, utilities, and access to common amenities. (If the team opted for a small private office within a coworking hub, the cost per person might be higher e.g. WeWork private offices in Manhattan often range \$700—\$1,000+ per desk/month depending on location (Source: deskpass.com) but still on the order of tens of thousands per year, not hundreds of thousands.)
- Sublease Option (NYC): A typical small private office for 5 people might require around 1,000 sq. ft. of space (at ~200 sq. ft. per person to allow for some meeting/storage areas). Midtown Manhattan Class A office rents averaged about \$80–\$90 per sq. ft. annually in recent years, but sublease space generally comes at a discount. Assuming a 30% sublease discount, the effective rent might be on the order of \$60 per sq. ft./year for quality space (Source: cbre.com). For 1,000 sq. ft, that equals \$60,000 per year (~\$5,000/month) in base rent. This sublease cost is more than double the coworking option's annual cost in this scenario. Even if we consider a cheaper Class B sublease at, say, \$45/sf (equivalent to ~\$45,000/year), the startup would still be paying nearly \$20k more than the coworking memberships. And importantly, the sublease figure excludes services the startup would incur additional costs for internet service, office cleaning, coffee/snacks, and any furniture if not provided. Once those are added, the true occupancy cost of the sublease could rise further.

Result: For a 5-person team in NYC, coworking is generally more cost-efficient in the short term. Estimates show a savings on the order of 50% or more compared to subleasing an equivalently sized private office. This aligns with broader data: in fact, across many U.S. cities, a coworking membership for a 10-person team costs roughly half as much as leasing 2,000 sq. ft. of office space (Source: coworkingcafe.com). New York City exemplifies this trend – a team of 10 in NYC could save up to \$62,900 per year by using dedicated desks in coworking instead of a leased office (Source: coworkingcafe.com). For a 5-person team, that implies on the order of ~\$30k in annual savings by choosing coworking. The breakeven point would shift if the team grew much larger (discussed later), but at this scale, the coworking model clearly maximizes cost efficiency.

### Scenario 2: 10-Person Remote Team Hub in Austin, TX

Now consider a company establishing a small hub office for 10 employees in Austin, Texas (a city with lower rents than NYC, but also lower coworking costs). We compare annual costs:



- Coworking Option (Austin): Austin's coworking prices are moderate. Hot-desk memberships average around \$200-\$350 per month and dedicated desks range \$350-\$700 per month in Austin, depending on location and amenities (Source: deskpass.com). If the team opts for a private 10-person suite or dedicated desk plan, let's assume ~\$400 per person/month as a mid-range estimate. For 10 people that is \$4,000 per month, or ~\$48,000 per year. This includes all standard services. Notably, if the team doesn't all need to be in the office every day, they could cut costs further (e.g. purchasing fewer memberships that are shared or using part-time/hot-desk plans). But for an apples-to-apples full-time space for 10 people, ~\$48k/year is a reasonable coworking budget.
- Sublease Option (Austin): Office rents in Austin average around \$42-\$44 per sq. ft. annually (full-service) for prime space(Source: austintenantadvisors.com). Many Class A spaces cluster in the \$50s per sq. ft., while Class B may be in the \$30s (Source: austintenantadvisors.com). Assuming a mid-range \$43/sf and about 1,500 sq. ft. needed for 10 people, the annual rent would be roughly \$64,500. If the company finds a sublease, they might secure a lower rate say ~\$35/sf (a ~20% discount) which would equate to \$52,500/year. However, the current Austin market is relatively healthy, so sublease discounts may not be extremely steep. Taking \$60k/year as an approximate sublease occupancy cost (including some utilities or extras), we see that the costs are in the same ballpark as the coworking option. Coworking at \$48k is somewhat cheaper, but not nearly as dramatically as in NYC. At \$48k vs. \$64k, the coworking model is about 25% cheaper in this scenario. If the team could utilize hot-desk plans (e.g. if not all 10 are in daily, or they use a more hybrid schedule), the coworking cost could drop further, widening the gap. On the other hand, if they wanted a high-end turnkey suite in a premium coworking location, the cost might equal or exceed the sublease.

Result: For a 10-person team in Austin, coworking still shows a cost advantage, but it's more modest. The lower the market's office rents, the smaller the gap between subleasing and coworking costs. In Austin's case, coworking is ~20–30% cheaper by our estimates. Market data supports this: in 96% of 146 U.S. cities analyzed in 2023, coworking was more affordable than leasing, but the *degree* of savings ranged widely (Source: coworkingcafe.com) (Source: coworkingcafe.com). In a few low-cost markets, a traditional lease (or sublease) can actually rival or beat coworking on pure cost – for example, Cincinnati was one city where an office lease was found to be ~11% cheaper than the equivalent coworking memberships (Source: coworkingcafe.com). Generally, though, small and mid-sized teams (<<50 people) find coworking to be cost-advantageous in most markets (Source: coworkingcafe.com). Coworking fees include many services (furniture, cleaning, etc.) that would be extra costs in a sublease, and small teams simply don't gain economies of scale in a private office the way larger ones do.

**Economies of Scale:** It's important to note that as **team size grows, the cost calculus shifts**. A 2,000 sq. ft. office can comfortably fit about 10–14 people; beyond that (~15+ people), a company would likely need a larger space where a direct lease or long sublease may start to make more financial sense. Many



analyses suggest that up to about **50 employees**, flexible space often remains a "no-brainer" for cost and agility (Source: coworkingcafe.com). Above that, the per-head cost of coworking memberships can outweigh a deeply negotiated lease for a larger block of space. For instance, a 50-person requirement might need ~7,500 sq. ft; at \$40-\$50/sf that's ~\$300k/year, likely beating 50 coworking desks (which could run \$50k \*5 = \$250k to \$400k+ per year depending on market). Thus, companies tend to pivot to subleasing or direct leases as they approach larger scale. In fact, a common **growth trajectory** noted by brokers is: "start in coworking, graduate to a 12–18 month sublease, then move to a 2-3 year lease, and eventually sign a 5-7 year direct lease once the company has 15,000–20,000 sq. ft. needs"(Source: blog.cort.com). This staged approach underscores that **coworking is typically most cost-effective for small teams and short-term horizons**, while subleasing (and later, direct leasing) can become more cost-effective as a company stabilizes and expands.

# **Flexibility and Term Commitment**

Flexibility is where coworking truly shines and where subleasing, while more flexible than a long direct lease, still involves commitments.

Coworking Flexibility: Coworking agreements are usually highly flexible. Most coworking memberships operate on month-to-month terms or short commitments (3, 6, 12 months) with options to expand or cancel with minimal notice (often 30 days). This allows companies to scale up or down quickly. Need to add 5 more desks next month? In a coworking space, that might be as simple as sending a request to the provider (assuming availability). Conversely, if you need to reduce seats or even shut down the space, you're not locked into years of rent – you can downsize at the next billing cycle, avoiding costly lease break fees. Coworking also enables geographic flexibility: many providers offer access to multiple locations, even globally, under one membership. For example, a team can work this week in a San Jose coworking site and next week in Los Angeles on the same plan. This network effect is useful for distributed teams and traveling staff. In the post-pandemic era of hybrid work, such flexibility is a major draw. Indeed, 42% of corporates plan to use more flexible space in the next three years as part of hybrid policies (Source: jll.com). The ability to "turn the dial" on space usage each month is an unparalleled feature of coworking.

**Sublease Flexibility:** Subleases are **less flexible** than coworking by nature, but still generally more flexible than standard 5-10 year leases. A sublease term can range from a few months to several years, depending on what was left on the master lease or negotiated. Many subleases have remaining terms in the **1–3 year range**, which can suit companies not ready to commit for a decade. However, once you sign a sublease, you are typically obligated for that entire term (e.g. if you take a 24-month sublease, you are on the hook for 24 months of rent unless you negotiate an early exit or find *another* sub-subtenant, which can be complicated and often requires landlord consent). Compared to coworking, **scaling requires** 



**more effort**: you can't simply add one more desk next month – you either grow within the fixed square footage (until you outgrow it) or you have to find a new space. Downsizing mid-term is equally difficult – you might end up paying for empty space if your headcount shrinks. Some subleases do allow partial sub-subleasing or have contraction options, but those are exceptions rather than the rule.

Where subleases do offer a flavor of flexibility is in being **intermediate solutions** – companies use them as a bridge, as noted in the growth trajectory earlier. For example, a startup might sublease 5,000 sq. ft. for 2 years as a stopgap between a small coworking space and a larger headquarters. Subleasing can thus be a way to "time bridge" until a firm is ready for a custom long-term space. But during the sublease term, the company has **far less agility** to adjust space usage compared to a coworking membership.

In summary, if the highest priority is **short-term flexibility and minimal commitment**, coworking is the clear winner. It offers *on-demand* space and even the ability to **trial different markets** (some firms use coworking to test a new city before committing to a lease there). Subleasing offers **moderate flexibility on term length** (you can get shorter contracts than direct leases) but little flexibility once signed – it's essentially a smaller-scale fixed lease.

# **Lease Obligations and Legal Considerations**

The nature of the contractual obligation in subleasing vs. coworking is fundamentally different, carrying distinct legal and operational implications.

Coworking Agreements: When you use a coworking space, you typically sign a membership agreement or service contract, not a real estate lease. Legally, this is often structured as a license to use space and services, which means fewer tenant rights and responsibilities. From an occupier's perspective, the benefit is simplicity - there's no lengthy lease to negotiate or personally guarantee, and no need for attorneys combing through landlord lease clauses. You pay a membership fee for services; if you breach the terms or leave, generally the worst outcome is loss of access or a penalty of a month's fee. There is no long-term liability sitting on your balance sheet (leases often must be recognized as liabilities under accounting rules - coworking agreements typically do not). Also, coworking providers usually handle compliance with building regulations, insurance of the premises, etc., so the user has minimal administrative burden. However, because it's not a leasehold interest, a coworking member has limited recourse if the provider fails to deliver (e.g., if the HVAC or elevators break, you can complain, but you usually can't abate your fees or take legal action as a tenant might under a lease's covenants). The provider often reserves rights to relocate members within the center or make changes. It's also worth noting provider stability as a consideration - if the coworking operator goes bankrupt or closes the location, members might lose their space with little notice. (This came into focus with WeWork's wellpublicized financial troubles in 2023-24; companies relying heavily on one coworking provider faced



uncertainty when "WeWork's possible bankruptcy [threatened] workplace stability" (Source: gable.to).) In essence, coworking shifts most obligations to the operator, while giving the user a lot of freedom to leave, but that comes with less control and security of tenure.

**Sublease Agreements:** A sublease is a bona fide **real estate lease contract** (tri-party in nature: involving landlord, sublandlord, and subtenant). Subtenants assume many of the same obligations a direct tenant would, though indirectly. Key legal considerations include:

- Master Landlord Consent: Almost all commercial leases require the landlord's approval to sublet. So a subtenant's deal isn't final until the property owner consents in writing. This can introduce uncertainty and delay both the sublandlord and subtenant must await consent (and in some cases lender consent as well) (Source: <a href="reubenlaw.com">reubenlaw.com</a>). Landlords may impose conditions or deny consent based on financial vetting or conflicts. This contrasts with coworking, where you simply sign up with the provider; no outside landlord is involved from your perspective.
- Being Bound to Master Lease Terms: A subtenant is subject to the master lease for the space (Source: reubenlaw.com). In practical terms, this means the subtenant must comply with all the original lease's rules (building operating hours, use clauses, insurance requirements, etc.), even though they weren't the one who negotiated them. The sublease document often says that except for rent amount and term, all master lease provisions carry through. Subtenants need to review the master lease carefully to avoid unpleasant surprises (e.g., a clause requiring restoration of the space to original condition could mean a big cost at sublease end if not understood (Source: reubenlaw.com)). By contrast, coworking members are only subject to the provider's own terms (usually simpler and oriented toward office conduct, not technical real estate covenants).
- Lease Obligations and Default Risk: In a sublease, the subtenant typically pays rent to the sublandlord, who then pays the landlord. If the subtenant fails to pay, the sublandlord can evict them (per the sublease terms). Conversely, if the sublandlord stops paying the master lease rent (even if the subtenant paid the sublandlord), the landlord could potentially terminate the master lease leaving the subtenant in a lurch. This is a major risk unique to subleasing: if the master tenant defaults or goes bankrupt, the subtenant can be suddenly evicted through no fault of their own(Source: reubenlaw.com). Some subleases include an "attornment" clause or direct agreement with the landlord to protect the subtenant in such events, but many do not (Source: reubenlaw.com). In any case, the subtenant lacks privity of contract with the landlord, meaning they cannot directly enforce landlord obligations. For example, if the landlord isn't providing adequate heating, the subtenant must ask the sublandlord to intervene; the subtenant can't usually go straight to the landlord or withhold rent to the landlord. This lack of direct recourse can be problematic if building issues arise (Source: reubenlaw.com).



- Recapture/Termination Clauses: Some prime leases give landlords rights, such as the right to
  "recapture" space intended for sublease (taking it back and dealing directly with a new tenant)
  (Source: reubenlaw.com). If such a clause is exercised, it could void the planned sublease. Landlords
  also might negotiate a termination if the entire space is being sublet. These clauses aren't extremely
  common, but they exist and must be checked.
- Limited Customization: Subleases are often "as-is." The subtenant usually accepts the current layout and improvements. Sublandlords are less inclined to offer tenant improvement allowances for customization (Source: <a href="reubenlaw.com">reubenlaw.com</a>). That makes sense since the term is shorter and the space is second-hand. Companies needing a highly customized buildout (say a lab or specific branding) may find subleasing less ideal, or they'll have to invest their own capital knowing the term is limited. With coworking, customization is also limited (aside from furnishing a private office or adding signage in your area, you generally cannot alter the space materially). So in this respect, both subleasing and standard coworking differ from a direct lease where a landlord might build to suit. Enterprise coworking solutions sometimes allow more branding and layout tweaks for larger clients, which is a newer trend bridging this gap.

In short, **subleasing carries many of the legal obligations of a lease**, just in a shorter wrapper and with an extra layer of complexity (the master landlord). Companies pursuing subleases should conduct legal due diligence: review the master lease, secure landlord consent, and possibly negotiate protections (such as a recognition agreement with the landlord to take over the space if the master lease ends). **Coworking requires far less legal overhead** – it's more of a service relationship. The trade-off is that the subtenant has a legal interest in real estate (with the protections and risks that entails), whereas the coworking member does not.

## Amenities, Services, and Work Environment

One of the biggest draws of coworking is the **amenity-rich environment**, whereas subleasing provides a more bare-bones space (unless you sublease from a company that leaves behind perks). Let's compare:

Coworking Amenities: Modern coworking spaces are known for their extensive amenities and community features. These often include: stylishly furnished lounges and breakout areas, meeting rooms with AV equipment bookable on demand, phone booths for private calls, stocked kitchens or coffee bars (often with free coffee/tea and sometimes snacks or beer on tap), reception services or mail handling, printers and office supplies, high-speed Wi-Fi and IT support, and even fitness centers or game rooms in some facilities. Many coworking operators also host networking events, workshops, and social gatherings, adding a community vibe and professional development opportunities within the space. All of these amenities are bundled into the membership cost. For a small company, accessing such facilities in a traditional lease would be cost-prohibitive – you likely wouldn't install a full café or a gym in a 5-



person office. In coworking, even the smallest startups enjoy a "big office" experience with impressive client-ready spaces and hospitality-level services. This can enhance employee satisfaction and give a professional image when bringing in clients or partners. Coworking environments also enable collaboration and networking with other member companies, which can lead to new business connections or ideas (an intangible perk valued by some startups). Of course, not every coworking space is ultra-luxurious; there are simpler ones with just the basics (desk, Wi-Fi, coffee). But even the basics – being able to use shared conference rooms, lounges, and pantries – mean a coworking member gets far more amenities than a comparably sized subleased office would typically have.

Sublease Amenities: When subleasing, the available amenities depend on two factors: the premises features and the building's amenities. The subleased premises might be a fully built office suite that the previous tenant outfitted. It could have internal conference rooms, a kitchen, reception area, etc., all ready to use. It may also come furnished, which is a huge benefit (as noted, many subleases include free use of existing desks, chairs, meeting furniture, and wiring (Source: reubenlaw.com)). This certainly lessens the gap in basic functionality - the subtenant might walk into a space where work can begin on day one, much like in coworking. However, ongoing services like cleaning, maintenance of appliances, restocking coffee, etc., typically become the subtenant's responsibility (either hiring a cleaning service or sometimes the building's janitorial will cover nightly cleaning for an added cost). The sublandlord generally will not provide concierge services or events - once the sublease is signed, the subtenant operates independently. The building amenities, though, can be a bonus: if the office building has a fitness center, conference center, café, or tenant lounge, the subtenant usually has access just like any other tenant. In fact, with many companies now rightsizing, some high-end buildings have started offering "spec suites" or shared amenities that subtenants can also use. In a "flight to quality" trend, smaller firms have been able to sublease space in trophy buildings they otherwise couldn't afford, thereby gaining prestige addresses and top-notch building amenities (Source: reubenlaw.com). Still, it's rare for a small sublease to match the breadth of perks that a dedicated coworking provider offers (especially community programming or the flexibility to use locations in multiple cities).

**Privacy and Culture:** Another aspect of the work environment is privacy and company culture. In a **coworking space**, unless you have a private suite, your team is working in a shared environment. Open coworking areas have other individuals and teams around; even in private offices, you're one of many companies on a floor, and common areas are communal. This can raise **privacy and security considerations** – e.g., sensitive phone calls in a glass-walled WeWork office, or concerns about data security on shared networks (reputable providers have enterprise-grade IT solutions to mitigate this, but it's a thought). Some companies in finance, legal, or health sectors might have regulatory or confidentiality reasons that make coworking less suitable unless they secure a fully enclosed suite with strong IT controls. By contrast, a **subleased office is your own space** behind your locked door. The culture you build in that space is entirely your own – you don't have to abide by community rules or consider noise levels for others (beyond normal lease rules). Teams that prefer a **controlled, exclusive** 



**environment** might lean toward subleasing for this reason. However, note that a sublease in a multi-tenant building still means you share restrooms, corridors, and lobby with others – similar to any office – but the coworking model involves much more daily interaction by design.

Operational Effort: From an operational standpoint, coworking offloads almost all facilities management to the provider. Your team doesn't worry about cleaning the kitchen or servicing the printer – it's handled. In a sublease, especially a smaller one, you might not have on-site office management. Tasks like ordering coffee, managing Wi-Fi networks, or changing light bulbs might fall to your staff or require contracting vendors. Some subleases (especially if you sublease part of a larger tenant's space) might include some shared services, but typically the subtenant becomes the de facto office manager for their space. For a small company, this overhead can be a distraction. Coworking is often touted as "hasslefree" – you just show up and work, and everything else "just works."

In summary, coworking provides a rich package of amenities and services by default, creating a vibrant work environment with minimal effort from the company. Subleased space can provide a high-quality physical space (often at a bargain) and access to any building amenities, but ongoing services and the office vibe are up to the subtenant to create. Companies must decide how much they value turnkey services and a shared community (coworking's strength) versus a private branded environment and full control (sublease's strength). Often, early-stage companies love the energy and convenience of coworking, whereas more mature teams might prefer the autonomy of their own office as they establish culture.

# **Location Advantages and Market Conditions**

When it comes to location, both subleasing and coworking can offer opportunities in prime areas – but there are some differences in availability and strategy:

Coworking Location Dynamics: Coworking providers tend to focus on urban centers and trendy neighborhoods where demand from startups and remote professionals is high. If you want a presence in a prestigious downtown building or a hip district, chances are there's a coworking center there. For example, WeWork and its peers have multiple locations in Manhattan, downtown San Francisco, London's Soho, etc. Coworking also expanded into secondary markets and suburbs, especially post-pandemic as distributed workforces grew. In fact, by 2024, secondary markets accounted for 47% of U.S. flexible office space and even many suburban areas saw rapid growth in coworking centers (e.g., New Jersey's coworking inventory grew 36% year-over-year as of Q3 2024) (Source: facilitiesdive.com). This means companies have the option to locate teams closer to where employees live (the "hub-and-spoke" approach, with a main office plus satellite coworking hubs in various suburbs). Additionally, coworking memberships can grant multi-location access: for instance, some plans let a member use any center



within the provider's portfolio. A business owner in San Jose can have a membership that also allows usage of a Los Angeles or New York location when traveling, at no extra cost – effectively giving the company footholds in multiple cities without separate leases.

Sublease Location Dynamics: Sublease availability is directly tied to where large tenants have excess space. In recent years, high-cost cities like New York, San Francisco, Chicago, and D.C. saw a glut of sublease space as companies shrank their footprints (Source: cbre.com). For example, in late 2022, nearly 45% of all available office space in San Francisco was sublease space (over 9 million sq. ft.), reflecting how dominant subleases became in that market (Source: reubenlaw.com). This presented smaller firms with a chance to get into premium downtown high-rises at reduced cost. The phrase "flight to quality" is often used – subleases let a tenant "fly" to a nicer building or location than their budget would normally allow, because someone else already paid for the build-out and is subsidizing the rent (Source: reubenlaw.com). So, subleases can be found in prime locations as well as less central ones, but they are somewhat hit-or-miss: you're limited to whatever spaces other companies have decided to shed. In a tight market, good subleases can be scarce; in a weak market, you might have abundant choices. As of 2023–2024, many markets have an elevated sublease supply (though it started to plateau in late 2024), which "presents more opportunities for tenants searching for space" at a discount (Source: cbre.com).

One location advantage of coworking *over* subleasing is for **very small footprint needs** in specific locations. Say you want just two people in a city (a sales rep and an engineer in a new region). You're unlikely to find a 100 sq. ft. sublease – but you can easily get two coworking memberships. Coworking also thrives in international locations, allowing a company to test overseas markets without setting up a foreign subsidiary lease.

However, subleasing has an advantage if you need a **specific location or specialized space** that coworking can't supply. For example, if you need to be in a specific industrial park or office campus where your client is, and there's no coworking facility nearby, a sublease (or portion of someone's office) might be the only flexible option. Or if you need an R&D lab or a unique layout (not offered in generic coworking), you might hunt for a sublease from a firm that built such a space.

Market Conditions Considerations: Under current market conditions (2024–2025), many companies are recalibrating their office use. High vacancy rates and record sublease volumes in some cities mean sublease rents are especially negotiable (Source: cbre.com). This can tilt the calculus toward subleasing for those who are able to make even a short commitment, because the cost savings are so strong. For instance, in some Silicon Valley and Los Angeles submarkets, analysis showed coworking was ~50–70% cheaper than direct leases (Source: coworkingcafe.com) (Source: coworkingcafe.com). If a tenant instead looks at sublease rents (perhaps 20–30% under direct), the cost gap between sublease and coworking narrows somewhat, but as we saw, coworking still often wins for small teams. On the other hand, if the economy shifts and office demand rises, sublease opportunities might dwindle, and direct



rents could climb – in such a scenario, coworking providers might raise membership fees too (they price against market demand). Historically, though, **coworking membership rates have remained relatively stable nationally** (the U.S. median dedicated desk price held around \$300/mo through 2024 (Source: <a href="coworkingcafe.com">coworkingcafe.com</a>) (Source: <a href="coworkingcafe.com">coworkingcafe.com</a>)) even as some office markets saw rent swings. This suggests coworking has a way of staying affordable due to competition and efficiency, whereas a company seeking a prime sublease in a hot market might get into a bidding war.

In essence, both subleasing and coworking can grant access to **desirable locations**. Coworking offers a ready presence in key business districts and emerging hubs alike, with the flexibility to hop between locations. Subleasing offers a path into high-end buildings at lower cost and might be the go-to for niche locations or larger space needs in core areas. Companies should evaluate what locations are critical for them and see which model (or combination) best achieves that. For example, a firm might use coworking in five cities for small teams, but sublease a main office in its HQ city to cement its presence there.

# **Long-Term Strategy and Scalability**

When planning beyond the immediate term, companies need to consider how subleasing or coworking align with their **long-term operational strategy and growth plans**.

**Coworking in a Long-Term Strategy:** Coworking is often characterized as a temporary or transitional solution (and indeed it is superb for that), but it can also be part of a sustainable long-term strategy, especially with the evolution of **hybrid work and distributed teams**. Some considerations:

- Startups and Early-Stage Companies: Young companies benefit from preserving capital and staying lean. Coworking allows them to defer real estate commitments until the business is more predictable. Early-stage startups often remain in coworking for years until they reach a scale where their own office is justified. This avoids signing a lease on assumptions that may not hold if the company pivots or headcount fluctuates. The cost premium per desk is outweighed by the risk reduction of not over-leasing. Startups also value the creative environment and networking in coworking spaces, which can help with recruiting and partnerships.
- Project-Based or Seasonal Teams: Companies with project teams that form and disband, or seasonal workforce expansions, can integrate coworking as a permanent flex capacity. For example, a consulting firm might maintain a core leased office but use coworking memberships to house teams when a big project kicks off (rather than holding excess space year-round). This "elastic capacity" approach is increasingly common. It's notable that large enterprises now account for a growing share of coworking usage many Fortune 500 firms have entire floors with coworking providers for overflow or innovation groups. Surveys show corporate occupiers intend to utilize flex space as 10-20% of their portfolio to handle swings in needs (Source: coworkingcafe.com).



- Remote-First Companies: Organizations that are largely remote may never opt for a long-term office. Instead, they might rely on coworking as a permanent solution for distributed employees for instance, providing all remote staff with a membership to drop into any of a network's locations in their city. This gives the workforce choice and avoids ever needing a fixed office. The long-term strategy here is maximizing talent reach and flexibility, with coworking being an ongoing operating expense rather than a lease liability. Some companies offer coworking stipends as a perk to support remote workers (cheaper than leasing regional offices).
- Risks of Long-Term Coworking: Over many years, membership costs can add up, and companies might worry about not building any equity in a space or identity. However, since office leases don't build equity either (they're expenses, not investments, unless you own property), this is more an emotional factor. Still, a potential risk of being in coworking indefinitely is provider instability or policy changes one must trust that the operator will remain solvent and maintain quality. Mitigation can include diversifying (using more than one provider or having a backup plan if one closes).

**Subleasing in a Long-Term Strategy:** Subleasing can also fit strategically, but it usually serves as a **stepping stone** or tactical move:

- Growth Stage Companies: As companies move from startup to growth stage (e.g. 50 to 200 employees), subleases offer a way to accommodate that growth in chunks without locking in a 10-year commitment. A company might hop from one sublease to another every couple of years as it scales (though moving frequently has costs and disruptions). This approach was described by a JLL tenant rep as common but painful: "they made a journey of picking up and moving every couple of years... a real pain" (Source: <a href="blog.cort.com">blog.cort.com</a>). Recognizing this, some brokers strategize to negotiate flexible expansion leases (phased growth in one building) (Source: <a href="blog.cort.com">blog.cort.com</a>). However, not every landlord is willing to do that, so subleases remain a go-to for interim space during growth spurts. The key is to ensure the sublease term covers your expected need but not much beyond, so you're not stuck paying for space after you've outgrown it.
- Mature Companies & Portfolio Optimization: Larger firms with existing long leases sometimes use subleasing themselves to right-size their portfolio (i.e. they become sublandlords to shed space). As an occupier evaluating space, you might consider if you will want the flexibility to sublease out space in the future. Direct leases usually allow some right to sublet. If you anticipate downsizing, taking a slightly bigger direct lease with an eye to sublease a portion could be part of the plan but that again puts you on the other side of the sublease equation, dealing with finding subtenants. In terms of long-term occupancy, an inherent limitation of subleasing is that you do not have renewal rights. When a sublease ends, you either have to negotiate a direct lease with the landlord (at whatever the then-market rate is) or relocate. If continuity is crucial (for instance, if you invested in customizing the space or it's critical for client visibility), subleasing is a short-term play and you'll eventually need to secure a direct lease or another solution.



- Transition to Direct Lease: Often the *goal* of subleasing, if the location works out, is to eventually convert to a direct tenant. Some landlords are open to this (once the original lease expires, they might sign the subtenant to a new lease). But this isn't guaranteed; there might be other competitors for the space, or the landlord might have different plans. Thus, a subtenant has less certainty of being able to stay long-term compared to if they had their own lease with extension options.
- Long-Term Cost Implications: Over a long horizon, continuously subleasing may result in higher cumulative costs than biting the bullet on a direct lease, especially when interest rates are low and landlords are offering heavy concessions on long deals (as is the case in soft markets). If a company knows it will be in a city for 10+ years and can forecast size reasonably, at some point signing a direct lease (or purchasing a property) could be more cost-effective than a string of short subleases where each time you might pay a slight premium for the flexibility. Of course, if the market is oversupplied, sublease rent bargains could persist, but that's speculative to bank on for decades.

Brand and Culture Considerations Long-Term: In a long-run perspective, some companies worry that staying in coworking might dilute their brand or culture – e.g., they want a space that is distinctly "theirs," with company signage at the entrance, perhaps in a building where they are listed on the directory, etc. Subleasing can provide a bit more of that traditional identity (you often can list your name on the suite and sometimes in the lobby directory). Coworking spaces will typically list multiple member companies, and while you can put a logo on your private office door, the overall environment is branded by the provider. For a client-facing business, having a dedicated office (even if subleased) might signal stability and presence that a coworking address might not. That said, the stigma around coworking for established companies has faded – even major banks and law firms have used coworking for certain teams, and many client meetings take place in high-end coworking conference rooms today.

Combining Models: An increasingly popular long-term strategy is a hybrid portfolio: use a core long-term space (leased or owned) for the company's headquarters or largest concentration of employees, and supplement with coworking or short subleases in other locations to provide flexibility. For example, a company might maintain a 100-person HQ on a 7-year lease, but utilize coworking passes to support remote workers in various cities and perhaps sublease a small office in a region where it's testing market entry. This approach can yield the best of both worlds – cost efficiency for core operations and agility at the edges. CBRE's research indicates many occupiers are pursuing exactly this, with flexible space being consciously woven into occupancy plans to provide "buffer space" and optionality (Source: coworkingcafe.com).

In conclusion, the best choice between subleasing and coworking (or what balance of both) depends on a company's **stage and strategic priorities**:



- If your horizon is uncertain or you prioritize agility (e.g. startups, rapidly changing firms, or companies optimizing cost in volatile times) coworking offers maximum flexibility and keeps your options open. It's essentially an OPEX model for office space that can scale with your business without long liabilities. Many companies in 2025 are leveraging this to stay light on their feet amid hybrid work trends (Source: facilitiesdive.com).
- If you have a relatively stable team size, need a specific space identity, or can capitalize on a very favorable sublease deal a sublease can be a smart intermediate solution. It can save substantial money (especially in high-rent cities where sublease discounts are big) and allow you to establish a private space for your team without a long lock-in. It works well as a bridge until you're ready for a permanent location or if your planned use roughly matches the sublease term.
- For long-term roots and scalability beyond a certain point, neither coworking nor subleasing alone is a panacea eventually a direct lease might enter your strategy when you reach the size or permanence that justifies it. But even then, many businesses will continue to keep some coworking subscriptions or short subleases in the mix to handle the ebb and flow of modern workforce needs.

## **Legal and Operational Risks**

Both subleasing and coworking come with their own sets of **risks and challenges** that should be weighed in any decision. We have touched on several in earlier sections; here is a consolidated look:

#### **Risks of Subleasing:**

- Third-Party Dependence: As discussed, subtenants are vulnerable to the performance of both the sublandlord and the master landlord. If either fails (sublandlord defaults on the head lease, or landlord doesn't uphold building services), the subtenant faces consequences with limited direct remedies (Source: <a href="reubenlaw.com">reubenlaw.com</a>)(Source: <a href="reubenlaw.com">reubenlaw.com</a>). This interdependence is a key risk effectively, you have <a href="two-landlords">two-landlords</a> to worry about (the actual landlord and your immediate sublandlord).
- Uncertainty in Approval: The need for landlord consent means a sublease deal isn't guaranteed until it's signed off. In some cases, time is lost and a deal falls through due to a recalcitrant landlord. This can be mitigated by involving the landlord early or understanding their criteria.
- Short Duration & End-of-Term Risk: Most subleases are for the tail end of a lease term. If you love the space and want to stay, you might not get to the landlord could reclaim it or lease to someone else once the term ends. Your business could face a forced move at an inopportune time. Always know the end date and have an exit or extension plan. If continuity is critical, consider negotiating a right-of-first-offer or some arrangement with the landlord at the sublease's end (if possible).



- Operational Responsibilities: In a sublease, you assume the operational tasks of a tenant. This includes maintaining the premises (you may inherit it in good shape, but if something like a light fixture breaks, depending on the lease you might have to fix it or request the sublandlord to fix it). Janitorial services might not be included in many cases, subtenants must contract cleaning or pay a share if the building includes it. There's also the chore of setting up IT infrastructure, security systems, and the like if not already in place. Essentially, you must run your office unlike coworking where it's run for you.
- Legal Complexity and Costs: Negotiating a sublease can incur legal fees nearly as high as a regular lease because an attorney should review both the sublease and the master lease to protect the subtenant. There may also be additional documents (landlord's consent form, estoppel certificates, etc.). This transaction cost is worthwhile for a multi-year space need, but for very short-term needs it may not be efficient.
- **Default and Damage Risks:** If the subtenant company defaults (fails to pay rent, etc.), they could face eviction and legal liabilities just as in any lease there's typically no leniency just because it's a sublease. Similarly, if employees cause damage to the property, the subtenant is liable to the sublandlord (and ultimately landlord) for repairs. Adequate insurance must be carried as required by the master lease (often a surprise to subtenants that they need significant liability coverage the master lease may mandate it) (Source: <a href="reubenlaw.com">reubenlaw.com</a>).
- Potential Hidden Costs: Some subleases might pass along unexpected costs. For example, in San Francisco, unique local taxes on commercial rent were mentioned as pass-throughs that a subtenant could get hit with (Source: <a href="reubenlaw.com">reubenlaw.com</a>). Always clarify which expenses (property taxes, common area maintenance, electricity, etc.) are included in the sublease rent and which are extra. Also, consider restoration obligations if the sublandlord made alterations, the master lease might require restoring to base condition at lease end, and that duty could fall on the subtenant if not negotiated otherwise (Source: <a href="reubenlaw.com">reubenlaw.com</a>).

#### **Risks of Coworking:**

• **Provider Viability:** The business model of coworking operators involves signing long leases and then renting out desks. This was tested during the pandemic and by the high-profile near-bankruptcy of WeWork. If a provider shuts down a location or goes bankrupt, members could lose their space with short notice and potentially lose any deposits or prepaid fees. While the industry is maturing and many providers are on solid footing (and new management at WeWork or others may stabilize them), **there is a counterparty risk**. Mitigation can be using providers with multiple locations so you can shift if one closes, or negotiating terms to exit if service levels drop.



- Data Security & Confidentiality: In shared spaces, companies must ensure their digital data is secure (using VPNs, private networks or dedicated suites if needed). Conversations in open areas can potentially be overheard. For some businesses, this is a manageable risk; for others (say, those handling trade secrets or sensitive client data), strict protocols or choosing a private enclosed coworking office are necessary.
- Lack of Control: You have limited control over your environment. The coworking operator might decide to repurpose a lounge you loved, or they might experience crowding if they oversell memberships. Noise and distractions can be an issue in busy centers. If a neighboring coworking member is disruptive, you rely on the operator to handle it you can't evict your "neighbor" like a landlord could. Most top-tier spaces maintain professionalism, but the culture can vary.
- Inconsistent Availability: If your team grows or needs change, there's a risk that your current coworking location might not have additional space when you need it (especially if you gave up space and later want it back). While providers try to accommodate expansions, high-demand locations can have waiting lists for private offices. Conversely, if you shrink, you might be paying for seats you no longer use until you adjust your plan (though that can usually be done with a month's notice). It requires proactive management to scale within coworking across different sites.
- Potential Cost Creep: Month-to-month flexibility means prices can change. Most providers review rates periodically and could increase your membership fee (usually with 30 or 60 days notice). In an inflationary scenario, you might face frequent small hikes. With a fixed lease or sublease, rent is locked (aside from predefined escalations). However, note that coworking price competition has kept fees relatively stable nationally (Source: <a href="coworkingcafe.com">coworkingcafe.com</a>) (Source: <a href="coworkingcafe.com">coworkingcafe.com</a>). Still, a very popular location could get pricier or start charging for formerly free services (e.g., maybe suddenly charging for conference room hours beyond a limit).
- Cultural Fit: While not a "risk" per se, some companies find that the communal atmosphere doesn't align with their work style long-term. For example, a heads-down engineering team might find the coworking space's buzz and networking events distracting rather than beneficial. This can usually be managed (choosing a quieter space, opting out of events), but it's a consideration the coworking model is inherently a shared community, which may not suit everyone's culture.

**Mitigation Strategies:** To manage these risks, companies should do their due diligence. For subleases, thoroughly review legal documents, possibly negotiate a **protective agreement** with the landlord (so that if the master lease terminates, the landlord will recognize the subtenant and let them continue – a so-called *Recognition Agreement*). Ensure the sublandlord is reliable (a financially healthy company unlikely to default). For coworking, pick providers with a strong track record or backed by solid firms (many landlords are now partnering with or running coworking operations, which may provide more security). Clarify terms around what happens if the space becomes unusable or if you need to exit early – some



enterprise memberships have custom clauses. Always have a **Plan B**: for instance, if tomorrow your coworking site shut down, could your team work remotely or could you shift to another location of the same provider? If your sublease ended unexpectedly, do you have a line on other spaces? Thinking these through can save pain later.

Ultimately, both subleasing and coworking have enabled unprecedented flexibility for businesses, but they require accepting certain risks that traditional long leases push to landlords or don't present at all. With careful planning and the right expectations, these risks can be managed while reaping the significant benefits these models offer.

# Real-World Examples and Case Studies

To illustrate how companies leverage subleasing vs. coworking, consider a few real-world scenarios:

- Startup Journey From Coworking to Sublease: Vestwell, a NYC-based fintech startup, provides a classic example of evolving workspace needs. Vestwell began as a small team of ~8 employees working out of a WeWork coworking space. The flexibility was ideal early on. After an \$8M Series A funding and rapid growth to ~30 employees in one year, they outgrew the coworking setup (Source: squarefoot.com). Vestwell moved into a larger 5-year office lease in Midtown Manhattan to accommodate their new headcount. However, their growth continued at such a pace that within a year they needed even more space - leaving four years on their first lease. They turned to subleasing: they found another company to sublease their entire current office (mitigating the rent burden for the remaining term) and simultaneously **subleased** a bigger office for themselves nearby (Source: squarefoot.com)(Source: squarefoot.com). This allowed Vestwell to efficiently "trade up" to space for ~50-60 people without overlapping rents. Over its journey, the company experienced the pros and cons of both models: coworking gave them an immediate launchpad and flexibility, and later subleasing helped solve the challenge of "How do we expand quickly without wasting rent?". One lesson Vestwell's COO noted was the importance of having flexibility to grow but not taking too much space too early - a delicate balance (Source: squarefoot.com). Their story highlights how a company might start in coworking, transition to direct lease, and use subleasing as a tool to adjust when reality diverges from initial plans.
- Enterprise Use of Coworking Satellite Teams: It's not just startups. Large corporations have also embraced coworking for certain needs. For example, IBM made headlines a few years ago by moving several hundred marketing and digital employees into a WeWork in Manhattan one of the first big enterprise deals of that kind. The rationale was to put an agile team in a creative environment quickly, without waiting on corporate real estate processes. Similarly, many Fortune 500 companies now maintain memberships with coworking providers to support remote employees or short-term projects. A Cushman & Wakefield report in 2025 noted that demand for flexible offices was rising as



- companies seek to offer employees choice of location and schedule (Source: <u>facilitiesdive.com</u>). One case is **HSBC** bank, which announced in 2021 it would ditch some traditional offices in favor of a "hybrid" approach using coworking spaces for employees in certain cities a cost-saving and flexibility move. These cases demonstrate that coworking can be a strategic tool even for established firms to reduce footprint and provide employee flexibility, especially when **reimagining their workplace strategy** for hybrid work.
- Sublease Deal as a Win-Win: Consider a midsize tech company in Silicon Valley that needed to upscale its image for client confidence. They were able to sublease 20,000 sq. ft. of Class A space in a prestigious Palo Alto building that a larger tech firm was vacating. The larger firm had built a top-notch facility with sleek interiors and a cafeteria, but with remote work, they didn't need it. The subtenant got a "flight to quality" upgrade - a premium office at roughly 60% of the normal rent(Source: coworkingcafe.com). They also negotiated to keep the high-end furniture and server room equipment that was in place. By subleasing, this smaller company immediately elevated its workspace (impressing clients and employees) without paying the premium or CapEx normally required (Source: reubenlaw.com). The sublandlord, in turn, was happy to have a quality subtenant cover a good portion of their remaining rent obligation. The risk for the subtenant is that in three years the term ends, and they'll have to negotiate a direct lease at market rates if they want to stay which could be much higher. But they calculated that the credibility gained and the recruitment advantage of such a space in the interim far outweighed that risk. This scenario underscores how market conditions (excess supply) can create unique opportunities via sublease that coworking might not replicate (coworking in Palo Alto is expensive - some of the highest dedicated desk prices in the nation(Source: coworkingcafe.com) - and wouldn't provide that custom built environment or sole control).
- Hybrid Approach Hub and Spoke: A consulting company with 150 people adopted a hybrid real estate strategy: they kept a 50-person hub office under a direct lease in downtown Chicago (their HQ for leadership and administrative staff), but moved the remaining employees (who are often at client sites or working remotely) into coworking memberships spread across various cities. They even negotiated an enterprise deal with a coworking operator to get volume discounts. Now, consultants in New York, San Francisco, and Austin all have access to workspace on demand, and the company only pays for what they use. Meanwhile, when the Chicago HQ faced downsizing during 2020, they subleased two of their three floors to another firm for the remaining lease term, consolidating their core team and reducing costs. This company's case shows how subleasing and coworking are not mutually exclusive but can complement each other. Subleasing helped trim fixed costs on the HQ, and coworking provided flexible space for distributed teams. The outcome was a reduction in overall real estate expense by 30% and far greater resilience if they need to scale up or down in a region, they adjust coworking usage; if Chicago needs change, they know they can potentially sublease again or expand back.



Each of these examples provides insight into when one model might outperform the other. Vestwell's journey illustrates timing and growth stage: coworking first, then sublease when scaling rapidly but needing to undo a long lease. The enterprise examples show coworking's value in **flexibility and decentralization**, while the Silicon Valley sublease shows how **cost and quality advantages** can make a sublease very attractive under the right conditions. The hybrid example shows that an **optimal solution can be a blend** of both, leveraging the strengths of each.

# When to Choose Sublease vs. Coworking - Decision Guidelines

There is no one-size-fits-all answer, but we can distill some guidance for different scenarios:

- Team Size and Stage: For very small teams (1–10 people), especially new ventures or temporary teams, coworking is usually more advantageous. It offers cost savings in most markets (Source: coworkingcafe.com), zero setup time, and the ability to expand or exit easily. A startup or entrepreneur can invest their energy into the business instead of office logistics. As teams grow into the dozens, coworking can still work (and often remains cheaper up to ~50 people) (Source: coworkingcafe.com), but the gap narrows and cultural needs may evolve. Mid-sized teams (50–100) might consider a sublease if they desire a unified private space and can commit for a couple of years at this size, managing your own office becomes more feasible, and the cost per employee of a sublease could drop below equivalent coworking memberships. Large teams (>100) will likely find traditional leasing (or multiple subleases) more economical, but they might still use coworking to handle fluctuations or specific projects. An important inflection point is when the cost of dedicated coworking (which could be \$400–\$800 per desk/month in many cities (Source: deskpass.com) (Source: deskpass.com)) multiplied by headcount exceeds what a leased office (including its op-ex) would cost at that point, shifting to a lease or sublease can yield direct savings.
- Duration of Need: If you need space for under 1 year, coworking is almost always the best choice. It's extremely hard to find quality office subleases for just a few months (and the overhead of a sublease isn't worth it for a very short stint). For needs in the 1–3 year range, a sublease can be a strong option if available, because it locks your costs and space for that medium term without a decade-long commitment. Many subleases align with this term length sweet spot. Coworking can also accommodate 1–3 year occupancies (and some providers will even give discounted rates if you commit to a longer membership), so here it may come down to cost and preference. For a term of 3+ years, if you still don't want a direct lease, you might need sequential subleases or a blend of sublease and coworking. But note, after 3+ years, you will likely pay a premium to remain fully in flex space compared to locking in a low-rate direct deal in a down market. Market conditions matter in 2023–2024, many landlords were offering big incentives for 5+ year leases (like over a year of free



- rent in some cases, and hefty improvement allowances (Source: <a href="nmrk.com">nmrk.com</a>)(Source: <a href="nmrk.com">nmrk.com</a>)). If your company is confident in its size and needs for the long term, taking such a deal could be beneficial. If not, the **optionality of flex wins**.
- Cash Flow and Financial Flexibility: Coworking keeps liabilities off the balance sheet and requires little to no deposit (maybe one month's fee). Subleases often require a security deposit or letter of credit perhaps 2–3 months of rent which ties up some cash. Also, any money spent on customizing a sublease (even just adding your logo or minor improvements) is an investment you may not recover if you leave. Thus, if preserving cash is critical (common for startups or firms in uncertain markets), coworking's pay-as-you-go model is safer. On the other hand, if a sublease deal offers, say, 5,000 sq. ft. at half the market rent, the cost savings over two years might justify the deposit and upfront costs many times over. It becomes a case of stable versus variable costs: coworking makes office expense purely variable (scale up/down monthly), while a sublease fixes it (and likely at a lower unit rate).
- Control vs. Convenience: This is a core trade-off. Subleasing gives more control you can design the space layout to your liking (within the existing build), control branding, decide who comes in or out, and you're not subject to another company's rules of use beyond the building's standard policies. Coworking prioritizes convenience everything is handled for you, but you abide by the space's rules and share with others. If having a private, branded environment where your team won't be distracted and where you can cultivate a unique culture is paramount, a sublease (or at least a serviced office suite dedicated to your company) might be preferable. If ease of use, employee amenities, and a dynamic atmosphere are more important and your team is adaptable coworking is very attractive. Many younger workforces enjoy the amenities and social aspects of coworking; some older-school executives might feel it's too transient or "not serious enough" for a headquarters. Know your company's culture.
- Industry and Security Requirements: Certain industries (e.g. defense contracting, some healthcare, high-end finance) have strict security and confidentiality needs that make exclusive control of space almost a necessity. Subleasing would align better here (or a highly secure private suite in a coworking building). Other industries (tech startups, creative agencies, etc.) often thrive in the collaborative environment of coworking and don't have as stringent privacy concerns. Legal and compliance requirements (like HIPAA for health data, or FINRA for financial firms) should be reviewed in context can they be met in a shared space? If not, that's a deciding factor toward sublease or direct lease.
- Market Conditions and Availability: In a market flush with subleases (like many are right now), bargain hunters can do very well locking in a sublease. If you find a perfect sublease space that fits your team, in the right location and at a rent far below what coworking would cost per person, it's worth serious consideration these don't always come around. Conversely, if you're in a market



where subleases are rare or they get snapped up quickly, you might not have the luxury to find one when you need it; coworking is instantly available. Timing matters too – if you need something *next week*, coworking is essentially your only real option; a sublease deal might take weeks to negotiate and approve. If you can plan a few months out, you can engage brokers to scout subleases and compare.

#### **Quick Reference Guide:**

- Choose Coworking if: you need maximum flexibility or have uncertain growth; you have a very shortterm or variable-term need; your team is small or distributed; you want a turnkey solution with premium amenities and minimal administration; conserving cash and avoiding commitments is a priority; you value being in a community environment and can tolerate shared space; you need multicity reach quickly.
- Choose Sublease if: you require a private, controlled office environment for heads-down work or confidentiality; you can commit to a defined term (1+ years) and want to capitalize on lower rents; you find a sublease in an ideal location or with a high-end buildout that elevates your presence; your team has reached a size or stage where having your "own office" is important for culture or client perception; you are comfortable with the responsibilities of an office lease (or have resources to handle them); the cost per person in a sublease comes out favorably compared to coworking (likely as team size grows); you want an interim solution before committing longer but need more stability than month-to-month.

In many cases, **the answer may be a combination**: for example, use coworking for a new market entry or for a small remote team, while subleasing a larger space for the core operations, and perhaps even maintaining a couple of coworking desks for overflow or visiting employees. The **flexible space ecosystem** allows tailoring solutions to each part of your business.

# Conclusion

Both subleasing and coworking have fundamentally changed how businesses approach office space, providing flexibility that traditional long-term leases can't match. Each model offers distinct advantages: coworking delivers agility, convenience, and amenities, whereas subleasing offers autonomy, potential cost savings, and a bridge to long-term premises. The best choice hinges on your company's size, growth trajectory, culture, and the market context.

In today's climate of hybrid work and economic uncertainty, many organizations are erring on the side of flexibility. Coworking's share of office usage is projected to continue rising – potentially making up nearly a third of corporate portfolios by decade's end (Source: <u>retaildive.com</u>) – as companies seek to stay lean



and adaptable. Concurrently, the sublease market has provided a **tenant-friendly outlet** to secure quality space at reduced cost, an appealing prospect for firms that need a dedicated office but not a decade-long commitment.

The prudent strategy for real estate decision-makers is to **evaluate both options side by side**, often with the help of financial models (like the ones in this report) and scenario planning. Engage with brokers and flex space providers to get actual quotes and availability. Consider doing a **pilot – e.g., try a coworking space for a few months** before signing any lease, to see how it fits your operations. Weigh intangible benefits too, such as employee satisfaction and productivity impacts of each environment. And remember that the decision is not irrevocable; you can pivot as your needs change (indeed, that's the whole point of these flexible models).

In the end, whether a sublease or a coworking space – or a hybrid approach – is more advantageous will depend on the specific **business stage**, **team size**, **and market conditions** you face. By staying informed of market trends (for instance, noting if sublease availability is climbing and rents falling (Source: <a href="mailto:cbre.com">cbre.com</a>), or if new coworking centers are opening in your target area) (Source: <a href="mailto:facilitiesdive.com">facilitiesdive.com</a>), you can time your decisions to seize the best opportunities. With careful analysis and a willingness to be flexible, companies can unlock **significant cost efficiencies and strategic benefits** by leveraging the right workspace model at the right time. The era of one-size-fits-all office leasing is waning; subleasing and coworking are now key parts of the toolkit for savvy real estate and operations managers aiming to create an optimal workplace strategy for their organizations (Source: <a href="mailto:blog.cort.com">blog.cort.com</a>).

#### Sources:

- CBRE Research U.S. Office Sublease Availability Nearly Doubles Since Pandemic(Source: <a href="mailto:cbre.com">cbre.com</a>)
   (Source: <a href="mailto:cbre.com">cbre.com</a>)
- JLL Future of Work Survey 2022 flex space plans of corporate occupiers (Source: ill.com)
- JLL Research (via CORT interview) typical growth path: coworking → short sublease → longer lease (Source: <u>blog.cort.com</u>)
- Cushman & Wakefield 2025 Flexible Office Outlook (Facilities Dive summary) (Source: facilitiesdive.com)
- CoworkingCafe/Yardi Cost of Coworking vs Office Lease studies (2023–2024) (Source: coworkingcafe.com) (Source: coworkingcafe.com) (Source: coworkingcafe.com)
- Statista Median coworking costs in NYC (2024) (Source: statista.com)



- Deskpass Typical coworking rates in various cities (2024) (Source: <u>deskpass.com</u>)(Source: <u>deskpass.com</u>)
- Reuben & Junius Law Benefits and Risks of Subleasing (San Francisco market context, 2021)
   (Source: reubenlaw.com) (Source: reubenlaw.com) (Source: reubenlaw.com)
   (Source: reubenlaw.com)
- G2 Commercial Real Estate Sublease rent typically 70–80% of direct rent (Source: g2cre.com)
- Vestwell case study via SquareFoot (client story) (Source: <a href="squarefoot.com">squarefoot.com</a>) (Source: <a href="squarefoot.com">squarefoot.com</
- Gable Blog Cost Comparison: Flexible Space vs. Lease (JLL rent data, etc.) (Source: gable.to)
   (Source: gable.to).
- Additional market data from Cresa (Austin rents) (Source: <u>austintenantadvisors.com</u>) and JLL/CoworkingResources (flex sector growth stats) (Source: <u>coworkingcafe.com</u>)(Source: <u>retaildive.com</u>).

Tags: subleasing, coworking, office space, commercial real estate, lease obligations, flexible workspace, cost efficiency, business strategy, real estate analysis

## **About 2727 Coworking**

2727 Coworking is a vibrant and thoughtfully designed workspace ideally situated along the picturesque Lachine Canal in Montreal's trendy Griffintown neighborhood. Just steps away from the renowned Atwater Market, members can enjoy scenic canal views and relaxing green-space walks during their breaks.

Accessibility is excellent, boasting an impressive 88 Walk Score, 83 Transit Score, and a perfect 96 Bike Score, making it a "Biker's Paradise". The location is further enhanced by being just 100 meters from the Charlevoix metro station, ensuring a quick, convenient, and weather-proof commute for members and their clients.

The workspace is designed with flexibility and productivity in mind, offering 24/7 secure access—perfect for global teams and night owls. Connectivity is top-tier, with gigabit fibre internet providing fast, low-latency connections ideal for developers, streamers, and virtual meetings. Members can choose from a versatile workspace menu tailored to various budgets, ranging from hot-desks at \$300 to dedicated desks at \$450 and private offices accommodating 1–10 people priced from \$600 to \$3,000+. Day passes are competitively priced at \$40.

2727 Coworking goes beyond standard offerings by including access to a fully-equipped, 9-seat conference room at no additional charge. Privacy needs are met with dedicated phone booths, while ergonomically designed offices featuring floor-to-ceiling windows, natural wood accents, and abundant greenery foster wellness and productivity.

Amenities abound, including a fully-stocked kitchen with unlimited specialty coffee, tea, and filtered water. Cyclists, runners, and fitness enthusiasts benefit from on-site showers and bike racks, encouraging an eco-



conscious commute and active lifestyle. The pet-friendly policy warmly welcomes furry companions, adding to the inclusive and vibrant community atmosphere.

Members enjoy additional perks like outdoor terraces and easy access to canal parks, ideal for mindfulness breaks or casual meetings. Dedicated lockers, mailbox services, comprehensive printing and scanning facilities, and a variety of office supplies and AV gear ensure convenience and efficiency. Safety and security are prioritized through barrier-free access, CCTV surveillance, alarm systems, regular disinfection protocols, and after-hours security.

The workspace boasts exceptional customer satisfaction, reflected in its stellar ratings—5.0/5 on Coworker, 4.9/5 on Google, and 4.7/5 on LiquidSpace—alongside glowing testimonials praising its calm environment, immaculate cleanliness, ergonomic furniture, and attentive staff. The bilingual environment further complements Montreal's cosmopolitan business landscape.

Networking is organically encouraged through an open-concept design, regular community events, and informal networking opportunities in shared spaces and a sun-drenched lounge area facing the canal. Additionally, the building hosts a retail café and provides convenient proximity to gourmet eats at Atwater Market and recreational activities such as kayaking along the stunning canal boardwalk.

Flexible month-to-month terms and transparent online booking streamline scalability for growing startups, with suites available for up to 12 desks to accommodate future expansion effortlessly. Recognized as one of Montreal's top coworking spaces, 2727 Coworking enjoys broad visibility across major platforms including Coworker, LiquidSpace, CoworkingCafe, and Office Hub, underscoring its credibility and popularity in the market.

Overall, 2727 Coworking combines convenience, luxury, productivity, community, and flexibility, creating an ideal workspace tailored to modern professionals and innovative teams.

#### **DISCLAIMER**

This document is provided for informational purposes only. No representations or warranties are made regarding the accuracy, completeness, or reliability of its contents. Any use of this information is at your own risk. 2727 Coworking shall not be liable for any damages arising from the use of this document. This content may include material generated with assistance from artificial intelligence tools, which may contain errors or inaccuracies. Readers should verify critical information independently. All product names, trademarks, and registered trademarks mentioned are property of their respective owners and are used for identification purposes only. Use of these names does not imply endorsement. This document does not constitute professional or legal advice. For specific guidance related to your needs, please consult qualified professionals.